

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,603 09/12/2003		Masaaki Kaneko	10517/187	7641	
23838	7590 12/10/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON 1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005		DON		LINCOLN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2832		
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/660,60	03	KANEKO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Lincoln Do		2832				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	ldress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by started the period by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no even reply within the statution ridd will apply and will attempt the apply	ent, however, may a reply buttory minimum of thirty (30) Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS filication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timel rom the mailing date of this co				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
		This action is n	on-final.					
3)[, —							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from cor						
	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 September 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) athe drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. ed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)∣	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have bee ents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	eation No vived in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/r No(s)/Mail Date <u>07-20-04</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mai		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/660,603

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, line 7, it is not clear whether applicant intends the "open end of the basket body" to be "open face" recited in claim 1.

Regarding claims 2-3 and 7-8, in line 2, there is no antecedent basis for "the partition." It appears that applicant intended "the partition" to be "the at least one partition" as cited in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 7-8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ida et al. [US 5,444,427] in view of Japan 5-109542 and FR2771842.

Regarding claims 1-3 and 8, Ida et al. '427 disclose a reactor unit [figure 1] comprising:

a basket body [1] having an open face;

- a plurality of reactor bodies [2A-C] which are accommodated within the basket

body;

- a lid body [3] which closes the open face of the basket body;

- a curable mold resin [column 3, lines 60-68] filling the basket body about the

coils of the reactor bodies; and

- at least one partition [figure 1] located between the reactor bodies whoses

leading end extends from an inner face of at least one of the basket body and the lid

body and abuts on an inner face of the of the other formed protrusively from the basket

body and integrally therewith about the tire depth of and entire width thereof.

Ida et al. disclose everything claimed except the basket body being formed of

metal and the lid body having vent holes.

Japan 5-109542, as acknowledged in applicant's specification, paragraph 4.

discloses the use of a metal basket body and lid.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to use metal to form the body and lid of Ida et al., as suggested by

Japan 5-109542, for the purpose of dissipating unwanted heat.

FR2771842 discloses the use of a lid [56] for a reactor body basket assembly

having vent holes [60].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to use the venting design of FR2771842 for the lid of Ida et al., as

modified, for the purpose of venting air during encapsulation.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,603

Art Unit: 2832

Regarding claim 7, discloses the claimed invention except for a gap between the partition and reactor bodies.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a gap between the partitions and reactor bodies in order to provide continuity to the resin encapsulation.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ida et al., as modified, as applied to claim3 above, and further in view of Ida et al. [US 5,109,209], (Ida et al. '209, hereinafter).

Ida et al., as modified, disclose everything claimed except an opening in the barriers in the vicinity of the inner face of the body and a notch for a temperature sensor.

Regarding claims 4-5, Ida et al. '209 discloses a reactor basket [figure 1] having a plurality of partitions [33] including an opening therein in the vicinity of the open face.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the opening design of Ida et al. '209 for the barriers of Ida et al., as modified, for the purpose of providing even resin flow.

Regarding claim 6, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an additional notch in the basket body of Ida et al., as modified, in order to accommodate the sensor probe.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Skinner [US 6,556,118].

Art Unit: 2832

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is 571-272-1988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ldd